

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/629,078	07/28/2003		Lance D. Smith	0179.0038	5945	
26067	7590	04/27/2006		EXAMINER		
HEXCEL C			THOMAS, ALEXANDER S			
11711 DUBLIN BOULEVARD DUBLIN, CA 94568				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ				1772	1772	
				DATE MAIL ED: 04/27/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	W	/
ress		
DAYS,		
nmunication.		
merits is		
	,	
	ŀ	
R 1.121(d).		
)-152.		
tage		

			w
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/629,078	SMITH ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alexander Thomas	1772	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communicati D. (35 U.S.C. § 133)	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ap	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro		is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1.3.4.6.8 and 28 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1.3.4.6.8 and 28 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.		
Application Papers			,
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction in the original of the correction and the correction in the original of the correction in the correction in the original of the correction in the correction is objected to by the Examiner of the correction in the correction in the correction in the correction in the correction of the correction in the correction of the	epted or b) objected to by the formula of the formula of the drawing (s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing (s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/629,078

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on April 3, 2006 has been entered.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 28 is objected to because of the following informalities: there is no antecedent basis for the term "said vapor permeable body" in these claims. Support should be provided in the claim 1. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 8 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoopingarner et al 5,624,728 in view of either Brayden et al. The primary reference discloses the invention substantially as claimed, namely a sandwich panel comprising a honeycomb core, a barrier layer of impermeable material around the

core made up of an inner plies of layer 38 and foam edge border 34, outer composite plies of layer 38 and an inlet (i.e. the embossments 82) through the barrier material; see Figure 4 and column 3, line 57 through column 4, line 29. However, the primary reference does not disclose the use of a permeable honeycomb core material. The secondary reference discloses the desirability of perforating a honeycomb core in a sandwich panel in order to enable communication of the entire honeycomb core with the atmosphere, therefore aiding in the removal of volatile gases; see column 2, lines 9-32 of Brayden et al. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to perforate the honeycomb core in the article of the primary reference in view of the teachings in the secondary references in order to aid in removal of volatile gases from the panel. Concerning claim 4, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use any well-known polymer, such as a thermoplastic, in the layers 38 of the primary reference in view of the teaching at column 4, lines 26-29 of using other polymers. Regarding claim 6, the resin in the inner plies of pre-preg layer 38 may be considered an adhesive that bonds inner plies 38 to the core and to the outer plies of layer 38.

Page 3

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Thomas whose telephone number is 571-272-1502. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00 M-THUR.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,078 Page 4

Art Unit: 1772

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ALEXANDER S. THOMAS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Olefendy S. Travar